

Note on generating all subsets of a finite set with disjoint unions

David Ellis

November 2008

Abstract

We call a family $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{P}[n]$ a *k-generator* of $\mathbb{P}[n]$ if every $x \subset [n]$ can be expressed as a union of at most k disjoint sets in \mathcal{G} . Frein, Lévêque and Sebő [1] conjectured that for any $n \geq k$, such a family must be at least as large as the k -generator obtained by taking a partition of $[n]$ into classes of sizes as equal as possible, and taking the union of the power-sets of the classes. We generalize a theorem of Alon and Frankl [2] in order to show that for fixed k , any k -generator of $\mathbb{P}[n]$ must have size at least $k2^{n/k}(1 - o(1))$, thereby verifying the conjecture asymptotically for multiples of k .

1 Introduction

We call a family $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{P}[n]$ a *k-generator* of $\mathbb{P}[n]$ if every $x \subset [n]$ can be expressed as a union of at most k disjoint sets in \mathcal{G} . Frein, Lévêque and Sebő [1] conjectured that for any $n \geq k$, such a family must be at least as large as the k -generator

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,k} := \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathbb{P}V_i \setminus \{\emptyset\}$$

where (V_i) is a partition of $[n]$ into k classes of sizes as equal as possible. For $k = 2$, removing the disjointness condition yields the stronger conjecture of Erdős – namely, if $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{P}[n]$ is a family such that any subset of $[n]$ is a union (not necessarily disjoint) of at most two sets in \mathcal{G} , then \mathcal{G} is at least as large as

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,2} = \mathbb{P}V_1 \cup \mathbb{P}V_2 \setminus \{\emptyset\}$$

where (V_1, V_2) is a partition of $[n]$ into two classes of sizes $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ and $\lceil n/2 \rceil$. We refer the reader to for example Furedi and Katona [5] for some results around the Erdős conjecture. In fact, Frein, Lévêque and Sebő [1] made the analogous conjecture for all k . (We call a family $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{P}[n]$ a *k-base* of $\mathbb{P}[n]$ if every $x \subset [n]$ can be expressed as a union of at most k sets in \mathcal{G} ; they conjectured that for any $k \leq n$, any k -base of $\mathbb{P}[n]$ is at least as large as $\mathcal{F}_{n,k}$.)

In this paper, we show that for k fixed, a k -generator must have size at least $k2^{n/k}(1 - o(1))$; when n is a multiple of k , this is asymptotic to $f(n, k) = |\mathcal{F}_{n,k}| = k(2^{n/k} - 1)$. Our main tool is a generalization of a theorem of Alon and Frankl, proved via an Erdos-Stone type result.

We first remark that for a k -generator \mathcal{G} , we have the following trivial bound on $|\mathcal{G}| = m$. The number of ways of choosing at most k sets in \mathcal{G} must be at least the number of subsets of $[n]$, i.e.:

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{m}{i} \geq 2^n$$

For fixed k , the number of subsets of $[n]$ of size at most $k - 1$ is $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{m}{i} = \Theta(1/m) \binom{m}{k}$, so

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{m}{i} = (1 + \Theta(1/m)) \binom{m}{k} = (1 + \Theta(1/m)) m^k / k!$$

Hence,

$$m \geq (k!)^{1/k} 2^{n/k} (1 - o(1))$$

We will improve the constant from $(k!)^{1/k} \approx k/e$ to k by showing that for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$, if $m \geq 2^{(1/(k+1)+\delta)n}$, then any family $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{P}[n]$ of size m contains at most

$$\left(\frac{k!}{k^k} + o(1) \right) \binom{m}{k}$$

unordered k -tuples $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ of pairwise disjoint sets, where the $o(1)$ term tends to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed k, δ . In other words, if we consider the ‘Kneser graph’ on $\mathbb{P}[n]$, with edge set consisting of the disjoint pairs of subsets, the density of K_k ’s in any sufficiently large $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{P}[n]$ is at most $k!/k^k + o(1)$. (This generalizes Theorem 1.3 in [2].) From the trivial bound above, any k -generator $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{P}[n]$ has size $m \geq 2^{n/k}$, so putting $\delta = 1/k(k+1)$, we will see that the number of unordered k -tuples of pairwise disjoint sets in \mathcal{G} is at most

$$\left(\frac{k!}{k^k} + o(1) \right) \binom{m}{k}$$

so

$$2^n \leq \left(\frac{k!}{k^k} + o(1) + \Theta(1/m) \right) \binom{m}{k} = \left(\frac{m}{k} \right)^k (1 + o(1))$$

and therefore

$$m \geq k2^{n/k} (1 - o(1))$$

where the $o(1)$ term tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

2 A preliminary Erdős-Stone type result

We will need the following generalization of the Erdős-Stone theorem:

Theorem 1 *Given $r \leq s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, if n is sufficiently large depending on r, s and ϵ , then any graph G on n vertices with at least*

$$\left(\frac{s(s-1)(s-2)\dots(s-r+1)}{s^r} + \epsilon \right) \binom{n}{r}$$

K_r 's contains a copy of $K_{s+1}(t)$, where $t \geq C_{r,s,\epsilon} \log n$ for some constant $C_{r,s,\epsilon}$ depending on r, s, ϵ .

Note that the density $\eta = \eta_{r,s} := \frac{s(s-1)(s-2)\dots(s-r+1)}{s^r}$ above is the density of K_r 's in the s -partite Turán graph with classes of size T , $K_s(T)$, when T is large.

Proof:

Let G be a graph with K_r density at least $\eta + \epsilon$; let N be the number of l -subsets $U \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that $G[U]$ has K_r -density at least $\eta + \epsilon/2$. Then, double counting the number of times an l -subset contains a K_r ,

$$N \binom{l}{r} + \left(\binom{n}{r} - N \right) (\eta + \epsilon/2) \binom{l}{r} \geq (\eta + \epsilon) \binom{n}{r} \binom{n-r}{l-r}$$

so rearranging,

$$N \geq \frac{\epsilon/2}{1 - \eta - \epsilon/2} \binom{n}{l} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \binom{n}{l}$$

Hence, there are at least $\frac{\epsilon}{2} \binom{n}{l}$ l -sets U such that $G[U]$ has K_r -density at least $\eta + \epsilon/2$. But Erdős proved that the number of K_r 's in a K_{s+1} -free graph on l vertices is maximized by the s -partite Turán graph on l vertices (Theorem 3 in [3]), so provided l is chosen sufficiently large, each such $G[U]$ contains a K_{s+1} . Each K_{s+1} in G is contained in $\binom{n-s-1}{l-s-1}$ l -sets, and therefore G contains at least

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{\binom{n}{l}}{\binom{n-s-1}{l-s-1}} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} (n/l)^{s+1}$$

K_{s+1} 's, i.e. a positive density of K_{s+1} 's. Let $a = s + 1$, $c = \frac{\epsilon}{2l^{s+1}}$ and apply the following 'blow up' theorem of Nikiforov (a slight weakening of Theorem 1 in [4]):

Theorem 2 *Let $a \geq 2$, $c^a \log n \geq 1$. Then any graph on n vertices with at least cn^a K_a 's contains a $K_a(t)$ with $t = \lfloor c^a \log n \rfloor$.*

We see that provided n is sufficiently large depending on r, s and ϵ , G must contain a $K_{s+1}(t)$ for $t = \lfloor c^{s+1} \log n \rfloor = \lfloor (\frac{\epsilon}{2l^{s+1}})^{s+1} \log n \rfloor \geq C_{r,s,\epsilon} \log n$, proving Theorem 1. \square

3 Density of K_k 's in large subsets of the Kneser graph

We are now ready for our main result, a generalization of Theorem 1.3 in [2]:

Theorem 3 *For any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$, if $m \geq 2^{\left(\frac{1}{k+1} + \delta\right)n}$, then any family $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{P}[n]$ of size $|\mathcal{G}| = m$ contains at most*

$$\left(\frac{k!}{k^k} + o(1)\right) \binom{m}{k}$$

unordered k -tuples $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ of pairwise disjoint sets, where the $o(1)$ term tends to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed k, δ .

Proof:

By increasing δ if necessary, we may assume $m = 2^{\left(\frac{1}{k+1} + \delta\right)n}$. Consider the subgraph G of the ‘Kneser graph’ on $\mathbb{P}[n]$ induced on the set \mathcal{G} , i.e. the graph G with vertex set \mathcal{G} and edge set $\{xy : x \cap y = \emptyset\}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$; we will show that if n is sufficiently large depending on k, δ and ϵ , the density of K_k 's in G is less than $\frac{k!}{k^k} + \epsilon$. Suppose the density of K_k 's in G is at least $\frac{k!}{k^k} + \epsilon$; we will obtain a contradiction for n sufficiently large. Let $l = m^f$ (we will choose $f < \frac{\delta}{2(1+(k+1)\delta)}$ maximal such that m^f is an integer). By the argument above, there are at least $\frac{\epsilon}{2} \binom{m}{l}$ l -sets U such that $G[U]$ has K_k -density at least $\frac{k!}{k^k} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Provided m is sufficiently large depending on k, δ and ϵ , by Theorem 1, each such $G[U]$ contains a copy of $K := K_{k+1}(t)$ where $t \geq C_{k,k,\epsilon/2} \log l = f C'_{k,\epsilon} \log m = C''_{k,\delta,\epsilon} \log m$. Any copy of K is contained in $\binom{m-(k+1)t}{l-(k+1)t}$ l -sets, so G must contain at least $\frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{\binom{m}{l}}{\binom{m-(k+1)t}{l-(k+1)t}} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} (m/l)^{(k+1)t}$ copies of K .

But we also have the following lemma of Alon and Frankl (Lemma 4.3 in [2]), whose proof we include for completeness:

Lemma 4 *G contains at most $(k+1)2^{n(1-\delta t)} \binom{m}{t}^{k+1} \frac{1}{(k+1)!}$ copies of $K_{k+1}(t)$.*

Proof:

The probability that a t -subset $\{A_1, \dots, A_t\}$ chosen uniformly at random from \mathcal{G} has union of size at most $\frac{n}{k+1}$ is at most

$$\sum_{S \subset [n]: |S| \leq n/(k+1)} \binom{2^{|S|}}{t} / \binom{m}{t} \leq 2^n (2^{n/(k+1)} / m)^t = 2^{n(1-\delta t)}$$

Choose at random $k+1$ such t -sets; the probability that at least one has union of size at most $n/(k+1)$ is at most

$$(k+1)2^{n(1-\delta)t}$$

But this condition holds if our $k+1$ t -sets are the vertex classes of a $K_{k+1}(t)$ in G . Hence, the number of copies of $K_{k+1}(t)$ in G is at most

$$(k+1)2^{n(1-\delta t)} \binom{m}{t}^{k+1} \frac{1}{(k+1)!}$$

as required. \square

If m is sufficiently large depending on k, δ and ϵ , we may certainly choose $t \geq \lceil 4/\delta \rceil$, and comparing our two bounds gives

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2}(m/l)^{(k+1)t} \leq (k+1)2^{n(1-\delta t)} \binom{m}{t}^{k+1} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \leq \frac{1}{2}2^{n(1-\delta t)} m^{(k+1)t}$$

Substituting in $l = m^f$, we get

$$\epsilon \leq 2^{n(1-\delta t)} m^{f(k+1)t}$$

Substituting in $m = 2^{\left(\frac{1}{k+1} + \delta\right)n}$, we get

$$\epsilon \leq 2^{n(1-t(\delta-f(1+(k+1)\delta)))} \leq 2^{-n}$$

since we chose $f < \frac{\delta}{2(1+(k+1)\delta)}$ and $t \geq 4/\delta$. This is a contradiction if n is sufficiently large, proving Theorem 3. \square

As explained above, our result on k -generators quickly follows:

Theorem 5 *For fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, any k -generator \mathcal{G} of $\mathbb{P}[n]$ must contain at least $k2^{n/k}(1 - o(1))$ sets.*

Proof:

Let \mathcal{G} be a k -generator of $\mathbb{P}[n]$, with $|\mathcal{G}| = m$. As observed in the introduction, the trivial bound gives $m \geq 2^{n/k}$, so applying Theorem 4 with $\delta = 1/k(k+1)$, we see that the number of ways of choosing k pairwise disjoint sets in \mathcal{G} is at most

$$\left(\frac{k!}{k^k} + o(1)\right) \binom{m}{k}$$

The number of ways of choosing less than k pairwise disjoint sets is, very crudely, at most $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{m}{i} = \Theta(1/m) \binom{m}{k}$; since every subset of $[n]$ is a disjoint union of at most k sets in \mathcal{G} , we obtain

$$2^n \leq \left(\frac{k!}{k^k} + o(1) + \Theta(1/m)\right) \binom{m}{k} = \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^k (1 + o(1))$$

(where the $o(1)$ term tends to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$), and therefore

$$m \geq k2^{n/k}(1 - o(1))$$

(where the $o(1)$ term tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$). \square

Note: The author wishes to thank Peter Keevash for bringing to his attention the result of Erdős in [3], after reading a previous draft of this paper in which a weaker, asymptotic version of Erdős' result was proved.

References

- [1] Frein, Y., Lévêque, B., Sebő, A., Generating All Sets With Bounded Unions, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* 17 (2008) pp. 641-660
- [2] Alon, N., Frankl, P., The Maximum Number of Disjoint Pairs in a Family of Subsets, *Graphs and Combinatorics* 1 (1985), pp. 13-21
- [3] Erdős, P., On the number of complete subgraphs contained in certain graphs, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci., Ser. A* 7 (1962), pp. 459-464
- [4] Nikiforov, V., Graphs with many r -cliques have large complete r -partite subgraphs, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* Volume 40, Issue 1 (2008) pp. 23-25
- [5] Füredi, Z., Katona, G.O.H., 2-bases of quadruples, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* 15 (2006) pp. 131-141